15th December 1953]

Sri V. R. NAGARAJAN :—" May I submit to the Hon. Minister that he cannot abolish untouchability unless stringent measures are taken whenever such cases are brought to the notice of the Government? "

Tenancy legislation for the South Kanara district.

* 48 *Q.*—Sri M. S. MOGRAL : Will the Hon. the Minister for Land Revenue be pleased to state—

(*a*) whether the Government have received representations from the President of the Karnataka Pradesh Congress Committee, Members of the Legislative Assembly and Council belonging to South Kanara district, various public organizations and other individual leaders of public opinion, urging the immediate necessity of introducing suitable tenancy legislation in the district; and

(*b*) if so, what steps the Government have taken in the matter?

The Hon. Sri M. A. MANICKAVELU NAICKER :—" (*a*) Representations have been received from

(1) Sri S. Narasappiah, M.L.C.;

(2) The South Kanara Congress Committee;

(3) The Praja-Socialist Party of South Kanara.

" (*b*) The matter is under very, very active consideration of the Government."

Tenancy legislation for the South Kanara district.

* 49 *Q.*—Sri K. VENKATRAMANA GOWDA : Will the Hon. the Minister for Land Revenue be pleased to state—

(*a*) whether representations have been received by Government from Members of Legislature and others in South Kanara regarding the urgent need to formulate tenancy legislation for South Kanara; and

(*b*) if so, what steps the Government propose to take in the matter?

The Hon. Sri M. A. MANICKAVELU NAICKER :—" (*a*) &

(*b*) The hon. Member's attention is invited to the answer given to Legislative Assembly starred question No. 48 tabled by Sri M. S. Mogral, M.L.A."

Tenancy legislation for the South Kanara district.

* 50 *Q.*—Sri M. NARAYANA NAMBIAR :—" Will the Hon. the Minister for Land Revenue be pleased to state—

(*a*) whether the Government have received representations from South Kanara district to introduce suitable tenancy legislation for South Kanara; and

(*b*) if so, what action has been taken in the matter by the Government?

[15th December 1953

THE HON. SRI M. A. MANICKAVELU NAICKER :—" (a) & (b) The hon. Member's attention is invited to the answer given to Legislative Assembly starred question No. 48 tabled by Sri M. S. Mogral, M.L.A."

SRI M. S. MOGRAL :—" How long will it take for the Government to consider this most important question? "

THE HON. SRI M. A. MANICKAVELU NAICKER :—" Having considered it most important, I said it was under very, very active consideration of the Government."

SRI L. C. PAIS :—" Having regard to the fact that some little time may be taken for bringing in this necessary legislation, may I ask whether it will not be necessary for the Government immediately to bring in interim legislation to prevent the eviction of tenants? "

THE HON. SRI C. RAJAGOPALACHARI :—" We are giving very immediate consideration to the matter."

Salary of Public Service Commission candidates on reappointment.

* 51 Q.—SRI K. ARANGANATHAN : Will the Hon. the Chief Minister be pleased to state—

(a) whether it is a fact that an ousted Public Service Commission candidate when he is reappointed, has often to start on a salary lower than that which he may have drawn at the time of his being ousted; and

(b) whether there is any proposal to change this rule?

THE HON. SRI C. RAJAGOPALACHARI :—" (a) Non-continuous officiating service does not count for increment in a time-scale under the Fundamental Rules and so it may happen that a person on reappointment draws less than what he was drawing when ousted.

" (b) There is no proposal to change the relevant rule. It is always, however, open to Government to condone any break in service so that officiating service prior to the break can be counted for increment in deserving cases."

Suspension of certain Gazetted officers of the Forest department.

* 52 Q.—SRI M. S. MOGRAL : Will the Hon. the Minister for Agriculture be pleased to state—

(a) how many Gazetted officers of the Forest department were suspended on charges of corruption during the period 1952–53;

(b) how many of such officers were eventually dismissed from service; and

(c) how many of such officers were made to retire compulsorily?